

REMARKS


Applicants have reviewed the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance (hereinafter "Statement") given on pages 2 and 3 of the November 17, 2005 Notice of Allowability. Based on this review, it appears that certain aspects of the Statement may be viewed as mischaracterizing the claims and the teachings of the prior art.

For example, the Statement appears to improperly suggest that the limitations listed in lines 2-6 of the Statement's second paragraph apply to all of claims 1-57. Applicants respectfully point out that this assertion is not correct. Claim 1, as just one example, comprises none of the limitations contained in this portion of the Statement.

As another example, the Examiner in the second paragraph of the Statement further asserts that U.S. Patent No. 6,658,002 (hereinafter "Ross") teaches "creating entries in the list for less than all of the compared frames for which no match was found in the comparison to the list," the list comprising "groups of frames and respective policies." Applicants respectfully disagree. Nowhere does Ross describe that entries will be created in a policy list.

Applicants believe that each of the claims is allowable because the recited limitations thereof are not taught or suggested by the prior art of record. To the extent the Statement includes language which mischaracterizes the claims and the teachings of the prior art, the Statement is respectfully traversed.

Respectfully submitted,



Date: January 10, 2006

Joseph B. Ryan  
Attorney for Applicant(s)  
Reg. No. 37,922  
Ryan, Mason & Lewis, LLP  
90 Forest Avenue  
Locust Valley, NY 11560  
(516) 759-7517